

**CARMEN A. TRUTANICH**, City Attorney (SBN 86629x)  
**GARY G. GEUSS**, Chief Assistant City Attorney  
**CORY M. BRENT**, Assistant City Attorney  
**RENA M. SHAHANDEH**, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 198072)  
200 North Main Street  
6th Floor, City Hall East  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
Email: rena.shahandeh@lacity.org  
Phone No.: (213) 978-7047, Fax No.: (213) 978-8785

Attorneys for Defendants **CITY OF LOS ANGELES and LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JS - 6

**BILLY G. ERVIN, JR.;**  
Plaintiff,

v.

**CITY OF LOS ANGELES,**  
**CALIFORNIA**, a government entity;  
**CITY OF LOS ANGELES POLICE**  
**DEPARTMENT**, a government entity;  
**DOES 1 - 25;**

Defendants

**CASE NO. CV11-03243 DDP(FFMx)**  
[Hon. Dean D. Pregerson  
Magistrate: Hon. Frederick F. Mumm]

**JUDGMENT RE: DEFENDANTS**  
**CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL'S**  
**MOTION FOR SUMMARY**  
**JUDGMENT**

**[FED.R.CIV.PROC. 56]**

**[Statement of Uncontroverted**  
**Material Facts and Conclusions of**  
**Law and Proposed Order filed**  
**concurrently herewith]**

Date: September 24, 2012  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Place: Courtroom 3

Pretrial: October 29, 2012  
Trial: November 6, 2012


The Defendants City of Los Angeles, et al Motion for Summary Judgment came on regularly for hearing on September 24, 2012, before the Honorable Dean D. Pregerson. The following appearances were made by the parties: on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Attorneys Altus W. Hudson, II, and on behalf of Defendants, City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Police Department Rena M. Shahandeh. After considering the moving, opposing, and reply papers, and having heard the arguments of counsel, the Court issued its Order

1 granting the Motion for Summary Judgment in favor of Defendants City of Los Angeles  
2 and Los Angeles Police Department.

3 Therefore, for the reasons set forth by this Court granting the Summary Judgment,  
4 judgment is hereby entered in Defendants' favor and against BILLY G. ERVIN, JR.  
5 Because the Defendants are the prevailing party, they shall be entitled to recover their  
6 costs reasonably incurred.

7  
8 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

9 DATED: October 5, 2012

10  
11   
12 **Honorable Dean D. Pregerson**  
13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28